

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

In re Patent Application of:  
Yuji Ukuma

Application No.: 10/568,441

Confirmation No.: 1001

Filed: October 23, 2006

Art Unit: 1775

For: ELECTRONIC COMPONENT AND METHOD  
FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

---

Examiner: C. F. F. Lam

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed May 8, 2008 setting forth a unity of invention requirement, applicants select Group I containing claims 1-7 and 16-19 with traverse.

The Office Action states that the inventions do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same corresponding features solely for the reason that Group I is directed to a product whereas Group II involves method steps. It is respectfully pointed out that this is not a valid grounds for requiring restriction.

The attention of the Examiner is respectfully invited to the Administrative Instructions under the PCT, Annex B, Unity of Invention, Part 1, Instructions Concerning Unity of Invention, which explicitly states that Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting the inclusion of claims to a given product and a process for making that product. See (e)(i). The method claims in this application are directed to making the product and therefore there is unity.

Application No. 10/568,441  
Amendment dated May 30, 2008  
Reply to Office Action of

Docket No.: M1071.1956

Withdrawal of the unity requirement and examination of all claims is respectfully solicited.

Dated: May 30, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By   
Edward A. Meilman

Registration No.: 24,735  
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-2714  
(212) 277-6500  
Attorney for Applicant